
Rio Del Mar Elementary School 2021-2022 School Accountability Report Card (Published During the 2022-2023 School Year)

General Information about the School Accountability Report Card (SARC)



2022-23 School Contact Information

School Name	Rio Del Mar Elementary School		
Street	819 Pinehurst Drive		
City, State, Zip	Aptos, CA 95003		
Phone Number	(831) 728-7838		
Principal	Meghan Green		
Email Address	meghan_green@pvusd.net		
School Website	https://rio-pajaro-ca.schoolloop.com/		
County-District-School (CDS) Code	44-69799-6049779		

2022-23 District Contact Information			
District Name	Pajaro Valley Unified School District		
Phone Number	331) 786-2100		
Superintendent	Dr. Michelle Rodriguez, Superintendent		
Email Address	nichelle_rodriguez@pvusd.net		
District Website Address	www.pvusd.net		

2022-23 School Overview

The goal of Rio del Mar Elementary School is to ensure that all students are college and career ready by creating an environment for each student to grow to their highest potential as learners and members of society. Rio provides a healthy, safe, and welcoming school environment. Our staff is highly collaborative. We promote strong family and community participation through our classroom volunteers, School Site Council, Parent Alliance, and partnerships with families at home.

We monitor student progress regularly, using formative assessments that are aligned with the Common Core State Standards for reading, writing, and math. We use the Benchmark Advance curriculum for reading and writing, enabling teachers to focus on our three core actions of 1. Giving access to high quality text for ALL students 2. Integrating standards to build student's comprehension of the text and its meaning, and 3. Engaging students to do the work and thinking. In this way, we are able to meet each and every student where they currently are in terms of skills and application, enabling growth for students at any achievement level. Working in concert with our school budget and our Parent Alliance fundraising efforts, we are able to provide SIPPs reading instruction for all K-3rd grade students in both whole group and small group settings depending. In math, we use a Cognitively Guided Instructional (CGI) lens implementing Engage New York and Number Corner curriculum to deeply address the standards. We provide math intervention at target grade levels to ensure that all students see themselves as belonging in math. Our sixth grade uses Big Ideas curriculum in math and My Perspectives for language arts, and Amplify for Science. All 3rd-6th grade students also use Khan Mappers to accelerate mathematical thinking and learning.

We monitor student progress in language arts and math using the following formative and summative assessments: SBAC, NWEA Maps, SIPPs Mastery Tests, DIBELS, and PVUSD Performance Tasks.

About this School

2021-22 Student Enrollment by Grade Level			
Grade Level	Number of Students		
Kindergarten	47		
Grade 1	49		
Grade 2	59		
Grade 3	73		
Grade 4	60		
Grade 5	77		
Grade 6	59		
Total Enrollment	424		

2021-22 Student Enrollment by Student Group

Student Group	Percent of Total Enrollment
Female	46.7
Male	53.3
American Indian or Alaska Native	0.5
Asian	3.3
Black or African American	1.4
Filipino	0.7
Hispanic or Latino	22.2
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	0.0
Two or More Races	7.5
White	64.2
English Learners	5.0
Foster Youth	0.0
Homeless	0.5
Migrant	0.0
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	25.2
Students with Disabilities	16.7

A. Conditions of Learning State Priority: Basic

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the State priority: Basic (Priority 1):

- Degree to which teachers are appropriately assigned and fully credentialed in the subject area and for the pupils they are teaching;
- Pupils have access to standards-aligned instructional materials; and
- School facilities are maintained in good repair

2020-21 Teacher Preparation and Placement						
Authorization/Assignment	School Number	School Percent	District Number	District Percent	State Number	State Percent
Fully (Preliminary or Clear) Credentialed for Subject and Student Placement (properly assigned)	18.50	94.87	675.70	75.64	228366.10	83.12
Intern Credential Holders Properly Assigned	0.00	0.00	12.00	1.35	4205.90	1.53
Teachers Without Credentials and Misassignments ("ineffective" under ESSA)	1.00	5.13	129.30	14.48	11216.70	4.08
Credentialed Teachers Assigned Out-of- Field ("out-of-field" under ESSA)	0.00	0.00	16.30	1.82	12115.80	4.41
Unknown	0.00	0.00	59.80	6.70	18854.30	6.86
Total Teaching Positions	19.50	100.00	893.30	100.00	274759.10	100.00

Note: The data in this table is based on Full Time Equivalent (FTE) status. One FTE equals one staff member working full time; one FTE could also represent two staff members who each work 50 percent of full time. Additionally, an assignment is defined as a position that an educator is assigned based on setting, subject, and grade level. An authorization is defined as the services that an educator is authorized to provide to students.

2021-22 Teacher Preparation and Placement						
Authorization/Assignment	School Number	School Percent	District Number	District Percent	State Number	State Percent
Fully (Preliminary or Clear) Credentialed for Subject and Student Placement (properly assigned)						
Intern Credential Holders Properly Assigned						
Teachers Without Credentials and Misassignments ("ineffective" under ESSA)						
Credentialed Teachers Assigned Out-of- Field ("out-of-field" under ESSA)						
Unknown						
Total Teaching Positions						

2021-22 data was not included as part of the initial release of data on 1/13/23. The CDE has indicated that the data will be available after the 2/1/23 SARC deadline. The data will be populated when it is published by the CDE.

Note: The data in this table is based on Full-Time Equivalent (FTE) status. One FTE equals one staff member working full time; one FTE could also represent two staff members who each work 50 percent of full time. Additionally, an assignment is defined as a position that an educator is assigned based on setting, subject, and grade level. An authorization is defined as the services that an educator is authorized to provide to students.

Teachers Without Credentials and Misassignments (considered "ineffective" under ESSA)

Authorization/Assignment	2020-21	2021-22
Permits and Waivers	1.00	
Misassignments	0.00	
Vacant Positions	0.00	
Total Teachers Without Credentials and Misassignments	1.00	

2021-22 data was not included as part of the initial release of data on 1/13/23. The CDE has indicated that the data will be available after the 2/1/23 SARC deadline. The data will be populated when it is published by the CDE.

Credentialed Teachers Assigned Out-of-Field (considered "out-of-field" under ESSA)

Indicator	2020-21	2021-22
Credentialed Teachers Authorized on a Permit or Waiver	0.00	
Local Assignment Options	0.00	
Total Out-of-Field Teachers	0.00	

2021-22 data was not included as part of the initial release of data on 1/13/23. The CDE has indicated that the data will be available after the 2/1/23 SARC deadline. The data will be populated when it is published by the CDE.

2021-22 Class Assignments

Indicator	2020-21	2021-22
Misassignments for English Learners (a percentage of all the classes with English learners taught by teachers that are misassigned)	0.00	
No credential, permit or authorization to teach (a percentage of all the classes taught by teachers with no record of an authorization to teach)	5.00	

2021-22 data was not included as part of the initial release of data on 1/13/23. The CDE has indicated that the data will be available after the 2/1/23 SARC deadline. The data will be populated when it is published by the CDE.

Note: For more information refer to the Updated Teacher Equity Definitions web page at <u>https://www.cde.ca.gov/pd/ee/teacherequitydefinitions.asp</u>.

Technology

All students have been provided a Chromebook and if necessary, a hotspot.

Our teachers and students use chromebooks and desk top computers on a daily basis. We have one to one chromebooks for all students and our youngest students have touch screen chormebooks. We have a district tech on campus twice a week.

Textbooks

We choose our textbooks from lists that have been approved by state education officials. For a list of some of the textbooks we use at our school, see the Data Almanac that accompanies this report. For Math, we utilize Engage New York and Number Corner for our K-5 grades and Big Ideas for our 6th grade.

Curriculum

The textbooks we use and the tests we give are based on the Common Core State Standards, and we expect our teachers to be firmly focused on them. Policy experts, researchers, and educators consider our state's standards to be among the most rigorous and challenging. As a school we embed Cognitive Guided Instruction practices such as Number Talks across the grade levels within our Math instruction. As a school we are continuing to fully implement the Common Core State Standards.

Year and month in which the data were collected

September 2021

Subject	Textbooks and Other Instructional Materials/year of Adoption	From Most Recent Adoption ?	Percent Students Lacking Own Assigned Copy
Reading/Language Arts	Benchmark Advance Benchmark Adelante/2019 myPerspectives English Language Arts Gr. 6, Pearson/2017	Yes	0%
Mathematics	Bridges in Mathematics (Eng. & Span.) The Math Learning Center Big Ideas Math Course 1 (Eng. & Span.), Cengage/2016	Yes	0%
Science	California Science, English & Spanish, Harcourt Achieve Earth Science, Holt;	Yes	0%
History-Social Science	Reflections: A Childs View, Harcourt Achieve; Reflections: People We Know, Harcourt Achieve; Reflections: Our Communities, Harcourt Achieve; Reflections: Making A Nation, Harcourt Achieve World History Modern Times, McDougal Littell;	Yes	0%
Foreign Language			NA
Health			NA

Visual and Performing Arts			NA
Science Laboratory Equipment (grades 9-12)	NA	Yes	NA

School Facility Conditions and Planned Improvements

The oldest buildings in the Pajaro Valley Unified School District were built in the early 1900's. More facts about the condition of our school buildings are available in an online supplement to this report called for by the Williams legislation of 2004. What you will find is an assessment of more than a dozen aspects of our buildings: their structural integrity, electrical systems, heating and ventilation systems, and more. The important purpose of this assessment is to determine if our buildings and grounds are safe and in good repair. If anything needs to be repaired, this assessment identifies it and targets a date by which we commit to make those repairs. The guidelines for this assessment were written by the Office of Public School Construction (OPSC) and were brought about by the Williams legislation. You can look at the six-page Facilities Inspection Tool used for the assessment on the Web site of the OPSC. http://www.dgs.ca.gov/opsc/Programs/deferredmaintenanceprogram/goodrepairstandards.aspx

Year and month of the most recent FIT report

10/7/2022

System Inspected	Rate Good	Rate Fair	Rate Poor	Repair Needed and Action Taken or Planned
Systems: Gas Leaks, Mechanical/HVAC, Sewer	Х			Room 21: Window is broken, blinds are badley damaged, cabinet needs to be fastened to wall and thermostat is broken. Room 3: Cove base is missing in areas, ceiling tiles are stained, needs new door hinges, and heater continuosly runs. Room 6: Heater runs continuosly and window locks are broken.
Interior Surfaces		X		Library: 1 light has a bad ballast, carpet is ripped and there are damaged ceiling tiles. Office: Missing ceiling tiles. Rook 17: There is a hole in the wall, 2 light bulbs are out and there is a broken latch on the sliding glass door. Room 12: Missing cove basing and carpet needs a deep clean. room 13: Carpet transition strip is broken creating a trip hazard. Room 2: Drawers are broken. Room 21: Window is broken, blinds are badley damaged, cabinet needs to be fastened to wall and thermostat is broken. Room 28A: Damaged ceiling tiles, 2 electrical outlets don't work and there is a hole in the sub floor. Room 28B : Pin board is damaged. Room 3: Cove base is missing in areas, ceiling tiles are stained, needs new door hinges, and heater continuosly runs. Room 4: missing face plate to empty box and windows do not lock. Room 5: Drawers are broken, faucet handle leaks, door handle is loose and the cylander gets stuck. Room 9: Electical outlet is not working and ceiling tiles are on.

School Facility Conditions and Planned	d Impro	ovemei	nts
Cleanliness: Overall Cleanliness, Pest/Vermin Infestation	Х		Room 12: Missing cove basing and carpet needs a deep clean. Room 16: Carpets need deep clean and 1 ceiling light bulb is out.
Electrical	X		Library: 1 light has a bad ballast, carpet is ripped and there are damaged ceiling tiles. Rook 17: There is a hole in the wall, 2 light bulbs are out and there is a broken latch on the sliding glass door. Room 10: Possible roof leak, 1 ceiling light bulb is out, drinking faucet handle is broken. Room 16: Carpets need deep clean and 1 ceiling light bulb is out. Room 18: Door closer needs to be adjusted, 1 missing outlet plate and the sophet leaks. Room 19: Door closer needs to be adjusted, 1 light bulb out and a possible roof leak Room 20: 1 outlet does not work. Room 22: Electical outlet is not working and the sub floor is damaged. Room 28A: Damaged ceiling tiles, 2 electrical outlets don't work and there is a hole in the sub floor. Room 8: Carpet is ripped and 2 ceiling light bulbs are out. Room 9: Electical outlet is not working and ceiling tiles are on.
Restrooms/Fountains: Restrooms, Sinks/ Fountains	Х		Room 10: Possible roof leak, 1 ceiling light bulb is out, drinking faucet handle is broken. Room 15: Possible roof leak and faucet handle leaks. Room 5: Drawers are broken, faucet handle leaks, door handle is loose and the cylander gets stuck.
Safety: Fire Safety, Hazardous Materials	Х		
Structural: Structural Damage, Roofs	X		Boys Restroom by boiler room#1: Cement is damaged outside door creating a possible trip hazzard. Girls Restroom by boiler room #1: Cement is damaged outside door creating a possible trip hazzard. Room 10: Possible roof leak, 1 ceiling light bulb is out, drinking faucet handle is broken. Room 18: Door closer needs to be adjusted, 1 missing outlet plate and the sophet leaks. Room 19: Door closer needs to be adjusted, 1 light bulb out and a possible roof leak Room 22: Electical outlet is not working and the sub floor is damaged. Room 28A: Damaged ceiling tiles, 2 electrical outlets don't work and there is a hole in the sub floor.
External: Playground/School Grounds, Windows/ Doors/Gates/Fences	Х		Kitchen: Door leading to bathroom doesn't close. Room 11: Broken door closer. Room 18: Door closer needs to be adjusted, 1 missing outlet plate and the sophet leaks.

School Facility Conditions and Planned Improvements

Room 19: Door closer needs to be adjusted, 1 light bulb out and a possible roof leak
Room 21: Window is broken, blinds are badley damaged, cabinet needs to be fastened to wall and thermostat is broken.
Room 3: Cove base is missing in areas, ceiling tiles are stained, needs new door hinges, and heater continuosly runs.
Room 5: Drawers are broken, faucet handle leaks, door handle is loose and the cylander gets stuck.
Room 6: Heater runs continuosly and window locks are broken.
Room 7: Window locks are broken.

Overall Facility Rate			
Exemplary	Good	Fair	Poor

B. Pupil Outcomes

State Priority: Pupil Achievement

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the State priority: Pupil Achievement (Priority 4):

Statewide Assessments

(i.e., California Assessment of Student Performance and Progress [CAASPP] System includes the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessments for students in the general education population and the California Alternate Assessments [CAAs] for English language arts/literacy [ELA] and mathematics given in grades three through eight and grade eleven. Only eligible students may participate in the administration of the CAAs. CAAs items are aligned with alternate achievement standards, which are linked with the Common Core State Standards [CCSS] for students with the most significant cognitive disabilities).

The CAASPP System encompasses the following assessments and student participation requirements:

- 1. Smarter Balanced Summative Assessments and CAAs for ELA in grades three through eight and grade eleven.
- 2. Smarter Balanced Summative Assessments and CAAs for mathematics in grades three through eight and grade eleven.
- 3. California Science Test (CAST) and CAAs for Science in grades five, eight, and once in high school (i.e., grade ten, eleven, or twelve).
- 4. College and Career Ready

The percentage of students who have successfully completed courses that satisfy the requirements for entrance to the University of California and the California State University, or career technical education sequences or programs of study.

SARC Reporting in the 2020-2021 School Year Only

Where the most viable option, LEAs were required to administer the statewide summative assessment in ELA and mathematics. Where a statewide summative assessment was not the most viable option for the LEA (or for one or more grade-level[s] within the LEA) due to the pandemic, LEAs were allowed to report results from a different assessment that met the criteria established by the State Board of Education (SBE) on March 16, 2021. The assessments were required to be:

- Aligned with CA CCSS for ELA and mathematics;
- Available to students in grades 3 through 8, and grade 11; and
- Uniformly administered across a grade, grade span, school, or district to all eligible students.

Options

Note that the CAAs could only be administered in-person following health and safety requirements. If it was not viable for the LEA to administer the CAAs in person with health and safety guidelines in place, the LEA was directed to not administer the tests. There were no other assessment options available for the CAAs. Schools administered the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessments for ELA and mathematics, other assessments that meet the SBE criteria, or a combination of both, and they could only choose one of the following:

- Smarter Balanced ELA and mathematics summative assessments;
- Other assessments meeting the SBE criteria; or
- Combination of Smarter Balanced ELA and mathematics summative assessments and other assessments.

The percentage of students who have successfully completed courses that satisfy the requirements for entrance to the University of California and the California State University, or career technical education sequences or programs of study.

Percentage of Students Meeting or Exceeding the State Standard on CAASPP

This table displays CAASPP test results in ELA and mathematics for all students grades three through eight and grade eleven taking and completing a state-administered assessment.

The 2020-21 data cells have N/A values because these data are not comparable to other year data due to the COVID-19 pandemic during the 2020-21 school year. Where the CAASPP assessments in ELA and/or mathematics is not the most viable option, the LEAs were allowed to administer local assessments. Therefore, the 2020-21 data between school years for the school, district, state are not an accurate comparison. As such, it is inappropriate to compare results of the 2020-21 school year to other school years.

Percentages are not calculated when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

ELA and mathematics test results include the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment and the CAA. The "Percent Met or Exceeded" is calculated by taking the total number of students who met or exceeded the standard on the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment plus the total number of students who met the standard (i.e., achieved Level 3-Alternate) on the CAAs divided by the total number of students who participated in both assessments.

Subject	School 2020-21	School 2021-22	District 2020-21	District 2021-22	State 2020-21	State 2021-22
English Language Arts/Literacy (grades 3-8 and 11)	N/A	60	N/A	28	N/A	47
Mathematics (grades 3-8 and 11)	N/A	55	N/A	17	N/A	33

2021-22 CAASPP Test Results in ELA by Student Group

This table displays CAASPP test results in ELA by student group for students grades three through eight and grade eleven taking and completing a state-administered assessment.

ELA test results include the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment and the CAA. The "Percent Met or Exceeded" is calculated by taking the total number of students who met or exceeded the standard on the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment plus the total number of students who met the standard (i.e., achieved Level 3-Alternate) on the CAAs divided by the total number of students who participated in both assessments.

Double dashes (--) appear in the table when the number of students is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

The number of students tested includes all students who participated in the test whether they received a score or not; however, the number of students tested is not the number that was used to calculate the achievement level percentages. The achievement level percentages are calculated using only students who received scores.

CAASPP Student Groups	CAASPP Total Enrollment	CAASPP Number Tested	CAASPP Percent Tested	CAASPP Percent Not Tested	CAASPP Percent Met or Exceeded
All Students	265	256	96.60	3.40	60.16
Female	130	126	96.92	3.08	62.70
Male	135	130	96.30	3.70	57.69
American Indian or Alaska Native					
Asian					
Black or African American					
Filipino					
Hispanic or Latino	53	48	90.57	9.43	45.83
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00
Two or More Races	20	20	100.00	0.00	60.00
White	178	175	98.31	1.69	64.57
English Learners	11	7	63.64	36.36	
Foster Youth	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00
Homeless					
Military	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	51	46	90.20	9.80	43.48
Students Receiving Migrant Education Services	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00
Students with Disabilities	48	42	87.50	12.50	30.95

2021-22 CAASPP Test Results in Math by Student Group

This table displays CAASPP test results in Math by student group for students grades three through eight and grade eleven taking and completing a state-administered assessment.

Mathematics test results include the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment and the CAA. The "Percent Met or Exceeded" is calculated by taking the total number of students who met or exceeded the standard on the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment plus the total number of students who met the standard (i.e., achieved Level 3-Alternate) on the CAAs divided by the total number of students who participated in both assessments.

Double dashes (--) appear in the table when the number of students is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

The number of students tested includes all students who participated in the test whether they received a score or not; however, the number of students tested is not the number that was used to calculate the achievement level percentages. The achievement level percentages are calculated using only students who received scores.

CAASPP Student Groups	CAASPP Total Enrollment	CAASPP Number Tested	CAASPP Percent Tested	CAASPP Percent Not Tested	CAASPP Percent Met or Exceeded
All Students	265	255	96.23	3.77	55.29
Female	130	125	96.15	3.85	55.20
Male	135	130	96.30	3.70	55.38
American Indian or Alaska Native					
Asian					
Black or African American					
Filipino					
Hispanic or Latino	53	48	90.57	9.43	39.58
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00
Two or More Races	20	20	100.00	0.00	55.00
White	178	174	97.75	2.25	60.34
English Learners	11	7	63.64	36.36	
Foster Youth	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00
Homeless					
Military	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	51	46	90.20	9.80	45.65
Students Receiving Migrant Education Services	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00
Students with Disabilities	48	42	87.50	12.50	38.10

CAASPP Test Results in Science for All Students

This table displays the percentage of all students grades five, eight, and High School meeting or exceeding the State Standard.

For any 2020–21 data cells with N/T values indicate that this school did not test students using the CAASPP for Science.

Subject	School	School	District	District	State	State
	2020-21	2021-22	2020-21	2021-22	2020-21	2021-22
Science (grades 5, 8 and high school)	NT	39.19	7.1	16.22	28.5	29.47

2021-22 CAASPP Test Results in Science by Student Group

This table displays CAASPP test results in Science by student group for students grades five, eight, and High School. Double dashes (--) appear in the table when the number of students is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

Student Group	Total Enrollment	Number Tested	Percent Tested	Percent Not Tested	Percent Met or Exceeded
All Students	76	74	97.37	2.63	39.19
Female	29	28	96.55	3.45	42.86
Male	47	46	97.87	2.13	36.96
American Indian or Alaska Native	0	0	0	0	0
Asian					
Black or African American					
Filipino	0	0	0	0	0
Hispanic or Latino	16	15	93.75	6.25	33.33
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	0	0	0	0	0
Two or More Races					
White	51	50	98.04	1.96	42
English Learners					
Foster Youth	0	0	0	0	0
Homeless	0	0	0	0	0
Military	0	0	0	0	0
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	12	11	91.67	8.33	9.09
Students Receiving Migrant Education Services	0	0	0	0	0
Students with Disabilities	14	12	85.71	14.29	8.33

B. Pupil Outcomes

State Priority: Other Pupil Outcomes

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the State priority: Other Pupil Outcomes (Priority 8): Pupil outcomes in the subject area of physical education.

2021-22 California Physical Fitness Test Results

This table displays the percentage of students participating in each of the five fitness components of the California Physical Fitness Test Results. Due to changes to the 2021-22 PFT administration, only participation results are required for these five fitness areas. Percentages are not calculated and double dashes (--) appear in the table when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

Grade Level	Component 1: Aerobic Capacity	Component 2: Abdominal Strength and Endurance	Component 3: Trunk Extensor and Strength and Flexibility	Component 4: Upper Body Strength and Endurance	Component 5: Flexibility
Grade 5	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%

C. Engagement

State Priority: Parental Involvement

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the State priority: Parental Involvement (Priority 3): Efforts the school district makes to seek parent input in making decisions regarding the school district and at each school site.

2022-23 Opportunities for Parental Involvement

The SSC approves our school's annual plan and budget; the group meets the last Tuesday of each month virtually from 6:30-8:00pm. The Parent Alliance meets from 6:30-8:00pm the second Tuesday of each month also virtually. The Parent Alliance helps fund many classroom activities, professional development for teachers, support staff for the implementation of the Response to Instruction (leveled reading) as well as upgrading our technology equipment. Our Parent Alliance also provides funding for student rewards and our enrichment program. Both meetings are open to all stakeholders.

There are opportunities for parents and guardians to participate in parent information nights that include the following: Math Festival, STEAM week, and Back to School Night.

Parents are active volunteers in our classrooms. They volunteer in classrooms, drive on field trips, help students plant our gardens, and assist with school projects.

2021-22 Chronic Absenteeism by Student Group

Student Group	Cumulative Enrollment	Chronic Absenteeism Eligible Enrollment	Chronic Absenteeism Count	Chronic Absenteeism Rate
All Students	449	443	120	27.1
Female	211	207	49	23.7
Male	238	236	71	30.1
American Indian or Alaska Native	2	2	0	0.0
Asian	14	14	2	14.3
Black or African American	6	6	3	50.0
Filipino	3	3	1	33.3
Hispanic or Latino	104	103	32	31.1
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	0	0	0	0.0
Two or More Races	33	32	8	25.0
White	286	282	73	25.9
English Learners	22	22	2	9.1
Foster Youth	1	0	0	0.0
Homeless	2	2	0	0.0
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	117	114	49	43.0
Students Receiving Migrant Education Services	0	0	0	0.0
Students with Disabilities	85	85	20	23.5

C. Engagement

State Priority: School Climate

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the State priority: School Climate (Priority 6):

- Pupil suspension rates;
- Pupil expulsion rates; and
- Other local measures on the sense of safety

Suspensions and Expulsions

This table displays suspensions and expulsions data collected between July through February, partial school year due to the COVID-19 pandemic. The 2019-20 suspensions and expulsions rate data are not comparable to other year data because the 2019-20 school year is a partial school year due to the COVID-19 crisis. As such, it would be inappropriate to make any comparisons in rates of suspensions and expulsions in the 2019-20 school year compared to other school years.

Subject	School 2019-20	District 2019-20	State 2019-20
Suspensions	0.19	3.68	2.45
Expulsions	0.00	0.02	0.05

This table displays suspensions and expulsions data collected between July through June, each full school year respectively. Data collected during the 2020-21 school year may not be comparable to earlier years of this collection due to differences in learning mode instruction in response to the COVID-19 pandemic.

Subject	School 2020-21	School 2021-22	District 2020-21	District 2021-22	State 2020-21	State 2021-22
Suspensions	0.00	0.89	0.02	4.40	0.20	3.17
Expulsions	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.12	0.00	0.07

2021-22 Suspensions and Expulsions by Student Group

Student Group	Suspensions Rate	Expulsions Rate
All Students	0.89	0.00
Female	0.00	0.00
Male	1.68	0.00
American Indian or Alaska Native	0.00	0.00
Asian	0.00	0.00
Black or African American	0.00	0.00
Filipino	0.00	0.00
Hispanic or Latino	0.96	0.00
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	0.00	0.00
Two or More Races	0.00	0.00
White	1.05	0.00
English Learners	0.00	0.00
Foster Youth	0.00	0.00
Homeless	0.00	0.00
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	2.56	0.00
Students Receiving Migrant Education Services	0.00	0.00
Students with Disabilities	1.18	0.00

2022-23 School Safety Plan

Our Safe School Plan focuses on people, programs, and the physical environment. The administration, SSC, and District Assistant Superintendent review the plan annually.

Working in collaboration with the district, we receive the ALICE trainning annually. Rio's Principal is also a certified ALICE trainer.

Our campus is clean and safe, reflecting our pride in our community and ourselves. Staff provides supervision before and after school. We conduct monthly fire, earthquake, lockdown, and shelter-in-place drills. Our Safety Plan was revised during the 21-22 school year and will be reviewed and revised again this year.

D. Other SARC Information Information Required in the SARC

The information in this section is required to be in the SARC but is not included in the state priorities for LCFF.

2019-20 Elementary Average Class Size and Class Size Distribution

This table displays the 2019-20 average class size and class size distribution. The columns titled "Number of Classes" indicates how many classes fall into each size category (a range of total students per class). The "Other" category is for multi-grade level classes.

Grade Level	Average Class Size	Number of Classes with 1-20 Students	Number of Classes with 21-32 Students	Number of Classes with 33+ Students
К	20	2	1	
1	21	1	2	
2	22		3	
3	22	1	2	
4	31		2	
5	23	1	2	
6	30		3	
Other	17	1	1	

2020-21 Elementary Average Class Size and Class Size Distribution

This table displays the 2020-21 average class size and class size distribution. The columns titled "Number of Classes" indicates how many classes fall into each size category (a range of total students per class). The "Other" category is for multi-grade level classes.

Grade Level	Average Class Size	Number of Classes with 1-20 Students	Number of Classes with 21-32 Students	Number of Classes with 33+ Students
К	13	3		
1	18	3		
2	20	2	1	
3	17	3		
4	30		2	
5	23		2	
6	31		2	
Other	18	1	1	

2021-22 Elementary Average Class Size and Class Size Distribution

This table displays the 2021-22 average class size and class size distribution. The columns titled "Number of Classes" indicates how many classes fall into each size category (a range of total students per class). The "Other" category is for multigrade level classes.

Grade Level	Average Class Size	Number of Classes with 1-20 Students	Number of Classes with 21-32 Students	Number of Classes with 33+ Students
К	24		2	
1	19	2		
2	22		2	
3	24		3	
4	29		2	
5	25		3	
6	30		2	
Other	17	1	1	

2021-22 Ratio of Pupils to Academic Counselor

This table displays the ratio of pupils to Academic Counselor. One full time equivalent (FTE) equals one staff member working full time; one FTE could also represent two staff members who each work 50 percent of full time.

Title	Ratio
Pupils to Academic Counselor	0

2021-22 Student Support Services Staff

This table displays the number of FTE support staff assigned to this school. One full time equivalent (FTE) equals one staff member working full time; one FTE could also represent two staff members who each work 50 percent of full time.

Title	Number of FTE Assigned to School
Counselor (Academic, Social/Behavioral or Career Development)	
Library Media Teacher (Librarian)	
Library Media Services Staff (Paraprofessional)	
Psychologist	
Social Worker	
Nurse	
Speech/Language/Hearing Specialist	
Resource Specialist (non-teaching)	
Other	

2020-21 Expenditures Per Pupil and School Site Teacher Salaries

This table displays the 2020-21 expenditures per pupil and average teacher salary for this school. Cells with N/A values do not require data.

Level	Total Expenditures Per Pupil	Expenditures Per Pupil (Restricted)	Expenditures Per Pupil (Unrestricted)	Average Teacher Salary
School Site	\$13,576.84	\$1,521.77	\$7,196.74	\$72,492.66
District	N/A	N/A	\$4,858.33	\$67,516
Percent Difference - School Site and District	N/A	N/A	38.8	7.1
State	N/A	N/A	\$6,594	\$88,358
Percent Difference - School Site and State	N/A	N/A	8.7	-19.7

2021-22 Types of Services Funded

Through fund-raising efforts by the Parent Alliance, we have been able to fund Engage New York curriculum, professional development opportunities for teachers (for example, attending the Asilomar Math Conference), classroom funds, and book funds.

2020-21 Teacher and Administrative Salaries

This table displays the 2020-21 Teacher and Administrative salaries. For detailed information on salaries, see the CDE Certification Salaries & Benefits web page at http://www.cde.ca.gov/ds/fd/cs/.

Category	District Amount	State Average for Districts in Same Category	
Beginning Teacher Salary	\$46,666	\$54,370	
Mid-Range Teacher Salary	\$64,722	\$82,681	
Highest Teacher Salary	\$90,640	\$106,610	
Average Principal Salary (Elementary)	\$101,669	\$135,283	
Average Principal Salary (Middle)	\$107,221	\$141,244	
Average Principal Salary (High)	\$117,462	\$152,955	
Superintendent Salary	\$222,832	\$264,367	
Percent of Budget for Teacher Salaries	26%	33%	
Percent of Budget for Administrative Salaries	5%	5%	

Our school and the district offer numerous training opportunities throughout the year to support our goals for increased student achievement. Staff members have regular opportunities for both training and collaboration. All teachers new to the district receive additional support and training. Our faculty has participated in ongoing professional development opportunities to enhance our instruction and social/emotional environment.

1. During the 2018-2019 academic year, all Rio teachers will receive training in Reader's Workshop through Momentum in Teaching. In 2020-2021 teachers received extensive training on different online platforms for academic discourse such as Google Classroom, Seesaw, Jamboard, and Peardeck. During the 2020-present day all teachers also received training around our new Language Arts Curriculum, Benchmark Advance, and our 6th grade team received training around the new Science Curriculum, Amplify.

2. The Rio del Mar faculty also received Positive Discipline training from our consultant, Jane Weed, to initiate our school wide Positive Discipline Program. We have continued to implement with the teacher leaders sharing lessons with teachers at staff meetings.

3. During 15-16 school year, Rio del Mar was in year two as a "Cotsen School," where six teachers were given the opportunity to participate in "cutting edge" professional development in the areas of Cognitive Guided Instruction, Writer's Workshop and Reader's Workshop. Mentor released teachers to observe each and reflect on lessons.

4. All teachers attended the Asilomar Math Conference in Monterey during the 15-16, 19-20, and 22-23 school years. Teachers shared lessons/activities learned at Asilomar at staff meetings.

5. During 19-20 and 20-21 and 22-23, K-3 teachers and our reading intervention team received ongoing professional development with SIPPs.

6. The primary focus in ELA for the 2022-2023 school year is around our three core actions:

Core Action 1 - Complexity: Are students focused on high-quality text(s) during the lesson?

? Students spend the majority of the lesson reading, writing, or speaking about text(s). ? Students are grouped and engaged in the lesson appropriate for their proficiency level.

Core Action 2 - Evidence: Do questions and tasks integrate the standards and build students' comprehension of the text(s) and its meaning?

? Students' tasks are aligned with reading and language objectives in the lesson.

? Students use evidence from the text to demonstrate understanding and to support their ideas about the text. These ideas are expressed through written and/or oral responses.

? Students use academic language when communicating about the content (written and/or oral).

? Students use the words (academic vocabulary), phrases, and sentences that are within the text.

? Students engage in explicit vocabulary development through strategically selected tier 2 and tier 3 words and are provided multiple exposures to the words.

? Students' tasks are sequenced to build knowledge and dive deeper into the text and graphics to support knowledge.

Core Action 3 - Knowledge: Are all students responsible for doing the thinking in this classroom?

7. The primary focus in Math for the 2022-2023 school year is around our three core actions:

Core Action 1- Focus, Coherence and Rigor

Students focus on the grade level content standards

The learning goal is clearly stated

Students build knowledge along the way and relate new learning to previous learning

Lesson targets conceptual understanding, procedure skill and fluency and application

Core Action 2- Employ instructional practices that allow all students to learn the content

Components are made explicit through the use of explanations, representations, tasks and examples

Students are able to strengthen their understanding of the content by strategically sharing representations and/or solution methods

Teacher is deliberately checking for understanding throughout the lessons to surface misconceptions and opportunities for growth and adapts the lesson according to that understanding.

Teacher facilitates the summary of the mathematics with references to student work and discussion in order to reinforce the purpose of the lesson.

Core Action 3- Provide all students with opportunities to exhibit mathematical practices while engaging with the content of the lessons.

Students have the opportunity to work with and practice grade level problems and exercises and students work with and practice grade level problems and exercises.

Students are allowed to productively struggle while the teacher cultivates reasoning and problem solving. Students persevere in solving problems in the face of difficulty.

Students are prompted to explain their thinking about the content of the lesson. Students are able to share their thinking about the content of the lesson beyond stating answers.

Students are able to have conversations about each other's thinking. Students talk and ask questions about each other's thinking in order to clarify or improve their own mathematical understanding.

Students are able to connect and develop informal language and mathematical ideas to precise mathematical language and ideas and their use of precise mathematical language increases over time.

This table displays the number of school days dedicated to staff development and continuous improvement.

Subject		2021-22	2022-23
Number of school days dedicated to Staff Development and Continuous Improvement	3	3	3